A.—**The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT** (**Rajasevaprasakta B. T. Kesavaiengar**).—

(*a*) and (*b*) No hard and fast rule has been laid down, but generally speaking, Inspectors and Sub-Inspectors can expect transfer from a charge after three years, unless special circumstances necessitate a change earlier. The names of officers who have remained in one district for five years or more at a stretch are noted and they are transferred to another district as soon as practicable.

(*c*) In the following districts, District Police Officers have remained in the same districts from the dates noted against them :—

Bangalore	... ...	7-7-1936
Mysore	... ...	11-7-1936
Bangalore City...	...	3-5-1938

Mr. BHOGAPPA.—With reference to answer to (*a*) and (*b*), at what intervals are the officers expected to be transferred ?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—As soon as possible after three years.

Mr. BHOGAPPA.—In the answer, it is stated that no hard and fast rule has been laid down as regards transfers of officers. Why should not the three-year rule relating to transfers be strictly enforced in the case of officers of the Police Department as is being done in all other departments of Government ?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Even in the other departments, the rule is not meant to be enforced invariably. The general understanding is that they should not be transferred within three years, but that does not mean that there should be a transfer in every case immediately after the three-year limit is over.

Mr. BHOGAPPA.—Is it not desirable to lay it down that once in three years, every officer must be transferred ?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Government do not think so.

Mr. BHOGAPPA.—Is there any harm in making such a rule ?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—There may be no harm, but there may be practical difficulties. Even if such a rule is passed, it might have to be violated in some cases.

Mr. BHOGAPPA.—At least as a general rule, will the Government transfer officers once in three years ?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—I have already said that as far as possible it is being done.

Mr. K. NANJUNDA RAO.—With reference to answer (*c*), what are the reasons for retaining those officers in Bangalore, Mysore and Bangalore City for more than three years ?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—There are various reasons ; efficiency of officers, local conditions, etc.

Mr. K. NANJUNDA RAO.—Then, how long are those officers going to be retained in their present places ?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—It is not possible to say.

Mr. T.M. KHAN.—If an officer is doing good work and is really serviceable to the people of the locality, is it not desirable to keep him in the same place even after the three-year limit ?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—It may be.

The MINISTER for REVENUE and FINANCE (**Rajamantrapravina K. V. Anantaraman**).—There are instances of representatives of the people themselves urging for the retention of certain officers in the same place for some more time.

Refund of Fines levied from Satyagrahis.

Q.—114. **Mr. Bandi NARANAPPA** (Sidlaghatta—Rural).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) how many Satyagrahis were punished with fines in the Gold Mine Satyagraha started by the Mysore Congress in the month of September 1939 and onwards ;

(*b*) from how many persons fines were recovered ;

(*c*) whether any order has been issued by Government to refund the fines collected in this respect ?

(*d*) if so, whether they will place a copy of the order on the table ?

A.—**The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, LAW DEPARTMENT** (**Mr. H. V. Narayana Rao**).—

(*a*) 314 Satyagrahis were sentenced to pay fines.

(*b*) 34 Satyagrahis paid the fine amounts in full, and 20 partly.

(*c*) No.

(*d*) Does not arise in view of the answer furnished to clause (*c*).

Mr. Bandi NARANAPPA.—Is it not possible to return the fines collected from the Satyagrahis ?

Mr. H. V. NARAYANA RAO.—The law does not provide for such refunds.

Mr. Khaji SYED YUSUF SAHIB.—Why is it that out of 314 Satyagrahis, fines were collected only from 54 partly or fully and the others were let off ?

Mr. PRESIDENT.—Recoveries can be effected only according to the rules.

The MINISTER for REVENUE and FINANCE (Rajamantrapravina K. V. Anantaraman).—Perhaps the others could not pay.

Mr. Khaji SYED YUSUF SAHIB.—In that case, the fines collected from only a few of them should be refunded.

Language of Correspondence in the Ayurvedic and Unani Colleges.

Q.—124. **Mr. S. N. M. RAZVI** (Bangalore, Mahomedan—Urban).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) in what language the Ayurvedic College of Mysore was carrying on its correspondence till now ;

(*b*) in what language was the Unani College doing the same ;

(*c*) whether there is any Government order directing these colleges respectively, to carry on their correspondence in some other language ;

(*d*) if so, in what language ?

A.—**The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, GENERAL DEPARTMENT (Mr. J. Appaji Gowda).**—

(*a*) and (*b*) In English.

(*c*) No.

(*d*) Does not arise in view of answer to (*c*).

Need for a Local Fund Dispensary at Chandrasekharapura.

Q.—125. **Mr. THIMMAPPA GOWDA** (Gubbi—Rural).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether there is any correspondence for the opening of a Local Fund Dispensary at Chandrasekharapura (Gubbi Taluk) which is a hobli headquarter with a population of 2,000 ;

(*b*) whether the hardships felt by the villagers for want of a hospital till now have come to the notice of Government ?

A.—**The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT (Mr. V. Vedavyasacharya).**—

(*a*) No.

(*b*) No. There are at present an Ayurvedic Vaidyasala and a Maternity Home with a midwife attached at Chandrasekharapura.

Mr. THIMMAPPA GOWDA.—Was not this subject discussed in the district and taluk conferences and resolutions passed requesting Government to open a local fund dispensary at the place ?

Mr. V. VEDAVYASACHARYA.—Government have not received any recommendations in the matter.

Mr. THIMMAPPA GOWDA.—Will the Government be pleased to open a dispensary now that they are aware of the hardships felt by the villagers ?

The MINISTER for LOCAL SELF-GOVERNMENT and PUBLIC HEALTH (Mr. H.B. Gundappa Gowda).—If definite proposals come up to Government and the District Board agrees to contribute its share of the cost, the question will be considered.

Mr. T. S. RAJAGOPALA IYENGAR.—Are the Ayurvedic Vaidyasala and the Maternity Home referred to in the answer, local fund institutions ?

Mr. H. B. GUNDAPPA GOWDA.—No.

Mr. T. S. RAJAGOPALA IYENGAR.—Then why is it that these two institutions are mentioned in the answer ?

Mr. H. B. GUNDAPPA GOWDA.—As the question refers to hardships of the people, the answer explains what has been done to alleviate those hardships.

Mr. T. S. RAJAGOPALA IYENGAR.—In Chandrasekharapura is there a population of 2,000 ?

Mr. H. B. GUNDAPPA GOWDA.—There may be.